





## THAT CLOSED SEA.

Premier Salisbury's Reply to Blaine's Proposal.

THE QUESTION DISCUSSED BY THE WASHINGTON CABINET.

Minister Parnell's interview with the President—The Original Package Law Sustained by the United States Supreme Court—Department Affairs—News from the National Capital.

WASHINGTON, D. C., May 26.—Lord Salisbury has at last broken his long silence in regard to the proposed treaty with the United States. He has not broken it in a way that affords much relief to the Administration. He has neither accepted nor rejected Mr. Blaine's proposition that a closed sea be agreed to, on condition that the North American Fur Seal Co. be allowed to take seals outside to compensate them for their expenses at the seal islands. His communication does not come in writing, but was delivered by Sir Julian Pauncefote to the President.

Sir Julian said that Lord Salisbury is not in favor of the importance of the subject, nor is he seeking needless delay. He has hesitated because of the strong opposition which has developed all over British North America to a closed sea. Protests have come not only from the people of British Columbia but from those of Canada. Lord Salisbury is greatly troubled by the feeling in Canada, which he does not wish to disregard, although he says that he would like to reach an amicable agreement for a closed sea. He sends Sir Julian to the President to make his position clear and to show that he is not intentionally delaying action, but is studying the question with a view to a solution.

While the President and the Cabinet are willing to accept the protestations of Lord Salisbury so far as circumstances justify them, they feel that it is getting too late in the season to wait. The subject will be taken up and discussed with considerable warmth at the meeting of the Cabinet. If nothing more satisfactory has been received from Lord Salisbury by that time a conclusion will probably be reached without his assistance.

### ORIGINAL PACKAGE LAW.

THE SUPREME COURT DECIDES THAT IT IS VALID—A KANSAS TEST CASE.

WASHINGTON, D. C., May 26.—The Supreme Court handed down its decision today which declares that the original package law is valid and constitutional. Chief Justice Fuller handed the decision, Justices Gray, Harlan and Brewer concurring. The decision was rendered in the case of the Shawnee County (Kan.) Sheriff, John M. Wilkerson, against Charles A. Bohrer, an original package agent at Topeka, Kan., who set up the claim that the prohibition laws must be re-enacted to enforce the requirements of the bill contested. The court holds that the power of the State to impose restraints and burdens upon persons and property in the promotion of good morals is a thing always possessed by a State and not surrendered by them to the general government not directly restrained by the constitution of the United States and essentially exclusive. The court holds that the enactment by Congress of the original package law was not for the purpose of giving permission to States to enact prohibitory laws, but was to remove impediments to the enforcement of the same.

### DEPARTMENT AFFAIRS.

CHARGES PREFERRED AGAINST BRIG.-GEN. ORSWAY BY SENATE OF LAURE.

WASHINGTON, D. C., May 26.—A committee composed of Messrs. Paul T. Bowen, L. P. Wild and F. W. Hamilton, representing the District Assembly (Kan.) Sheriff, John M. Wilkerson, against Charles A. Bohrer, an original package agent at Topeka, Kan., who set up the claim that the prohibition laws must be re-enacted to enforce the requirements of the bill contested. The court holds that the power of the State to impose restraints and burdens upon persons and property in the promotion of good morals is a thing always possessed by a State and not surrendered by them to the general government not directly restrained by the constitution of the United States and essentially exclusive. The court holds that the enactment by Congress of the original package law was not for the purpose of giving permission to States to enact prohibitory laws, but was to remove impediments to the enforcement of the same.

### THE FAIR FESTIVITIES FUND.

Several Additional Committees Appointed—Successful Solicitors.

The solicitors for the Fair Festival Fund are meeting with most gratifying success in all directions. When the meeting at the Entertainment Hall was held it was made plain that the most public interest had been aroused than in any scheme proposed since the Exposition building was erected, but the most optimistic were not prepared to predict the success with which the collectors have met. It has been deemed necessary to appoint several additional committees. The Retail Liquor Dealers' Association will be represented by John W. Howard, chairman; Michael O'Malley, Philip Now, Martin Henry, Frank Sebastian and A. E. Furber. John W. Leader will collect from the retail dealers in hats and caps. The bridge builders will be represented by W. F. Hopkins. W. J. Gilbert has been appointed chairman of the committee of dealers in brewers and bottlers' supplies. The excelsior mattress manufacturers will be represented by F. Burkhardt, the engravers by W. H. Haxell and the milliners by Henry Griesedek, Jr.

### PROS-PELORS FOR PERSONS.

Have a case sent home from Addington's, 700 Olive.

### THE UNION PACIFIC.

Way Gould to Secure a Direct Entrance for It Into Helena, Mont.

HELENA, Mont., May 26.—It is reported here that Jay Gould during his coming visit here will look into the matter of securing the Union Pacific system so as to give it a direct entrance into Helena over its own line. Over ten years ago the Union Pacific started to build a line from the Utah Northern direct to Helena. The line was graded for thirty miles up to Jefferson Canyon and to within eighty miles of Helena when work was stopped on account of lack of funds. Two years later the Northern Pacific made its way here and got control of the freight business. It is believed that the Union Pacific people now see their mistake in not completing their line to Helena two

## CONSTIPATION

and other bowel complaints cured and prevented by the prompt use of

### Ayer's Cathartic Pills

They regulate the liver, cleanse the stomach, and greatly assist digestion.

Dr. J. C. Ayer & Co. Lowell, Mass.

years ago, and the eighty miles remaining of the contemplated road will now be completed. By way of the new road and the Utah Northern to Roswell, the short line to Granger and the Union Pacific proper to Omaha, the latter road, it is claimed, would have the most direct line from Chicago to this part of the Northwest.

**FINE UMBRELLAS.**  
Went not buy a good silk Umbrella!  
The best are the Mermont & Jacard.  
For sale corner Broadway and Locust.  
Prices the lowest, \$2.50 to \$15.

### MANFIELD'S MEN COMPLAINTS.

Discrimination in the Pay of Deputy Jury

A rumor is prevalent that there is discrimination existing among the ranks of jury commissioners. Mansfield's special deputies who are engaged making a canvass of the city, taking the names of those who are eligible for jury service. The trouble grows out of the fact that the men are divided into two classes, the first grade receiving \$4 a day for their services and the others \$3 a day. Some of the \$3 men claim that they are doing as good work as the \$4 men, and regard the difference in pay as an injustice. The rumor is in which Commissioner Mansfield classifies the men thus: There are a number of attorneys on the list of appointments, some of them recent graduates. The lawyers Mr. Mansfield has included in the first class, or \$4 men. The \$3 men are supposed to be men of intelligence, but not conversant with law. The discontent has been growing. It is stated, and some of the \$3 men have been themselves to their friends until the matter began to be discussed about the Court house. Nobody, however, would mention any names in connection with the matter.

Commissioner Mansfield called on the lawyers Mr. Mansfield and asked him concerning the rumors. Mr. Mansfield said it was the best canvass that was ever made. It is for the benefit of the city and the lawyers fare doing it not only at my request, but at the request of such men as Judge J. A. Mandel. "I put the lawyers in the rougher districts. Now, any one can tell for instance that John Davis is fit to serve on a jury. But take a case down near the levee for instance. One of these lawyers in three or four questions can tell whether a man is fit to go on a jury or not, while those \$3 men will ask 'Can you read?' Can you write?' and spend half an hour. Those \$4 men will go out and clean up a district and be back with their list in no time, while the \$3 men will be back asking for instruction on points of law, and you have to tell them you to death. If I discover anyone making any complaints I will drop him. In fact, if I discovered anything of that sort I would just leave him to go away with the whole lot of them."

### THE WORLD'S FAIR.

Exhibits to Be Made by the Republics of South and Central America.

WASHINGTON, D. C., May 26.—The Latin American, Department of the World's Columbian Exposition has received information of the formal acceptance by the Government of Venezuela of the invitation to participate in the World's Columbian Exposition and in a letter under date of May 8, the Minister of Foreign Relations gives assurance of the most cordial co-operation and prompt action of the Government and people of Venezuela to promote the success of the Exposition. Lieut. Scriven of the United States Army, the special commissioner to the Republics of Central America in the interest of the World's Columbian Exposition, forwarded to the capital of Costa Rica. He says:

### THE WORLD'S FAIR.

Exhibits to Be Made by the Republics of South and Central America.

WASHINGTON, D. C., May 26.—The Latin American, Department of the World's Columbian Exposition has received information of the formal acceptance by the Government of Venezuela of the invitation to participate in the World's Columbian Exposition and in a letter under date of May 8, the Minister of Foreign Relations gives assurance of the most cordial co-operation and prompt action of the Government and people of Venezuela to promote the success of the Exposition. Lieut. Scriven of the United States Army, the special commissioner to the Republics of Central America in the interest of the World's Columbian Exposition, forwarded to the capital of Costa Rica. He says:

### THE WORLD'S FAIR.

Exhibits to Be Made by the Republics of South and Central America.

WASHINGTON, D. C., May 26.—The Latin American, Department of the World's Columbian Exposition has received information of the formal acceptance by the Government of Venezuela of the invitation to participate in the World's Columbian Exposition and in a letter under date of May 8, the Minister of Foreign Relations gives assurance of the most cordial co-operation and prompt action of the Government and people of Venezuela to promote the success of the Exposition. Lieut. Scriven of the United States Army, the special commissioner to the Republics of Central America in the interest of the World's Columbian Exposition, forwarded to the capital of Costa Rica. He says:

### Barr's

want you to read about The Gem Thermometer

Sad Iron.

Will open the demonstration to-morrow with a great reduction in price. Single irons \$2.75 and two for \$5; take advantage of this cut in price. And now we're going to tell you some of the many good things about this great invention for saving woman's labor. It makes ironing light, easy and cool work; may be used in the parlor or out in the yard; is self-heating; the only having a movable even heat can never be the starch stick. Come down and done and judge of the Come learn how to use the most economical iron in existence, because it is more than 2 cents' worth of fuel to do a day's ironing. No dirt, dust or ashes can escape, the iron being now so perfected by adopting a new feature, the bell shaped holes, that all former imperfections have been done away with. Two years' practical study has finally brought The Gem up to the highest standard of perfection. Demonstration in Barr's housekeeping department every day this week. Please tell all your neighbors about it. Barr's wish also to remind you that this is the last week of lectures on coffee making in the One Minute coffee pot. The demonstrator returns to Philadelphia next Saturday.

WM. BARR & CO., St. Louis.

Take Washington Avenue Electric Line or Locust Street Cable. Telford Streets, Granitoid Walks, Sewer, Water and Electric Lights.

Through mistake it was announced that purchasers would assume the taxes.

We Pay the Taxes of 891.

J. T. DONOVAN & CO., 513 North Sixth St.

COURT OF APPEALS.

MR. NEIDER'S LIABILITY FOR EMIL THOMAS' ATTORNEY FEES.

Attachments for \$86,000 Against Peter, White & Bayler, a Boston Shoe House.

Fritz Wangelin, a Divorcee—Judge Dillon's Decisions.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning in regard to the litigation over the expenses incurred by Emil Thomas, the City Marshal, in the defense of the injunction suit brought against him and his wife, White & Bayler, the shoe house that failed to pay the City Marshal's bill.

The Court of Appeals decided the appeal case this morning























